## EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

1401 H Street, N.W. Suite 1020 Washington, D.C. 20005 Office 202/326-3822



**ORIGINAL** 

Anthony M. Alessi Director Federal Relations

July 15, 1999

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW

Re:

MAless

Ex Parte Presentation

CC Docket 96-262 and CC Docket 99-65

Dear Ms. Salas:

Washington, DC 20554

On Wednesday, July 14, 1999, Karl Wardin, Director - Regulatory Services and I met with Richard Lerner, Deputy Chief, Competitive Pricing Division, Jay Atkinson, Senior Economist, Competitive Pricing Division and Tamara Preiss, Attorney, Competitive Pricing Division to discuss interstate access reform and Ameritech's Petition for Forbearance. The attached material was used as part of our discussion.

Sincerely.

Attachment

cc:

R. Lerner

J. Atkinson

T. Preiss

No. of Copies rec'd 7+4 List ABCDE

**(2)** 

## Collocation and Revenue Data - Chicago MSA

| Collocated         | Total              | Percent    | % Revenues in Collocated WCs |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------------------|
| <u>Wirecenters</u> | <u>Wirecenters</u> | Collocated |                              |
| 84                 | 153                | 55%        | *81%                         |

- No need to make distinction between IXC POP and end user portions of a special access circuit
- Special access circuits are marketed to customers as an end to end circuit, not just the LDC portion
- For Ameritech's end user intrastate equivalent service, exchange private line, ICB capability is already available. Ameritech also has virtually complete pricing flexibility in four of its five states

Ameritech.

## Collocation and Revenue Data - Other Major Markets

| Market<br>(MSA)     | Collocated<br>Wirecenters | Total<br><u>Wirecenters</u> | Percent<br>Collocated | % Revenues in Collocated WCs |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Cleveland           | 23                        | 39                          | 59%                   | 91%                          |
| Columbus            | 16                        | 30                          | 53%                   | 95%                          |
| Detroit             | 28                        | 84                          | 33%                   | 76%                          |
| <b>Grand Rapids</b> | 18                        | 34                          | 53%                   | 95%                          |
| Indianapolis        | 13                        | 37                          | 35%                   | 90%                          |
| Milwaukee           | 29                        | 33                          | 88%                   | 99%                          |



## **AMERITECH REGION**

